## **BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY** MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against	; ) )
HANYA BARTH, M.D.	) File No. 03-2005-165519
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A31974	) )
Respondent.	) )
1	
	DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Decision is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on \_\_January 16, 2007 IT IS SO ORDERED December 14, 2006

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By:

Cesar A. Aristeiguieta, M.D., Chair

Panel A

**Division of Medical Quality** 

Hallinan and Wine, 345 Franklin Street, San Francisco, CA. 94102. Respondent has been

11

12

15

16

18

21

25

any other	criminal	or civil	proceeding.
			Y

10.

- This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that the Medical Board's staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or her counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this Stipulated Settlement as its Order, the stipulation, except for this paragraph, shall be of no force or
- effect and shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this settlement.
  - The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as originals.
  - 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

# **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

Based upon these recitals, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division will issue and enter the following Order and Decision:

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A31974 issued to respondent Hanya Barth, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years upon the following terms and conditions.

13. Clinical Training Program: Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which respondent was

17

18

23

alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program. Based on respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations. At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding. Respondent shall complete the Program not later than nine months after respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation. If respondent fails to successfully complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the Division or its designee that respondent failed to successfully complete the clinical training program.

date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 9 months of probation is a violation of probation. A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Division or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

12

21

25

26

1	15. Medical Record Keeping Course: Within 90 calendar days of the
2	effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at
3	respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfull
4	complete the course during the first 9 months of probation is a violation of probation. A medical
5	record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior
6	to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be
7	accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the
8	Division or its designce had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.
9	Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee
10	not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15
11	calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

16. **Practice Monitoring:** Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Division, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Division or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision and Order, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Order and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees with the proposed monitoring plan.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, and continuing throughout probation, respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on

the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Division or its designee which includes an evaluation of respondent's performance, indicating whether respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine and whether respondent is practicing medicine safely.

It shall be the sole responsibility of respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Division or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Division or its designec, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified by the Division or designee.

In lieu of a monitor, respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at respondent's expense during the term of probation.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined above is a violation of probation.

date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or

than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified, limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

## STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 18. Notification: Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

  This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.
- 19. <u>Supervision of Physician Assistants</u>: During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 20. Obey All Laws: Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- Quarterly Declarations: Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

1
っ

1

- Probation Unit Compliance: Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b). Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license. Respondent shall immediately inform the Division or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.
- 23. <u>Interview with the Division or it's Designee</u>: Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee upon request at various intervals and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 24. Residing or Practicing Out-of-State: In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Responde	nt's lic	ense sha	ll be automa	tically canceled if respondent's periods of temporary or
permanen	t reside	ence or p	ractice outs	ide California totals two years. However, respondent's license
shall not b	e cano	eled as	ong as respo	ondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of
the United	1 State	s and is	on active pro	bation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in
which cas	e the t	wo year	period shall	begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that
state.				

25. Failure to Practice Medicine - California Resident: In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non- practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 26. <u>Completion of Probation</u>: Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 27. <u>Violation of Probation:</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect,

3

5

б

15

17

19

20

22

the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

License Surrender: Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

29. Probation Monitoring Costs: Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division. The costs are currently \$3,173.00, and may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such cost shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

23 /

24 //

25

26 //

27 //

28 /

Stipulated Settlement and Decision

# ACCEPTANCE

	**************************************
I have carefully read	the above Stipulated Settlement and Decision. I understand
the effect this stipulation will have o	on my license and agree to be bound thereby. I enter into this
Stipulated Settlement and Decision	knowingly, voluntarily, freely and intelligently. I agree that a
FAX copy of my signature shall hav	e the same force and effect as an original.
DATED: 11-14-0	6
	(IV)
	HANYA BARTH, M.D. Respondent
	APPROVAL
I have fully discussed	with respondent the terms and conditions and other matters
	ettlement and Decision/ and approve its form and content.
DATED: 11/13/66	the state
	PATRICKHALLINAN
	Hallinan and Wine
	Attorney for Respondent
	ENDORSEMENT
The foregoing Stipul	ated Settlement and Decision is hereby respectfully submitted
for consideration of the Division of	Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of
Consumer Affairs.	
DATED: 11 17 2000	
	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General
	of the State of California
	LAWRENCE A. MERCER JANE ZACK SIMON
	Deputy Attorneys General
	Attorneys for Complainant
	11
	11

A TIBILIKE

FILED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

SACRAMENTO Plant 24 20 0 6

BY LECTURE TO SACRAMENTS. ANALYS.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAWRENCE A. MERCER, State Bar No. 111898 3 JANE ZACK SIMON, State Bar No. 116564 Deputy Attorneys General 4 California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: Mercer (415) 703-5539 6 Simon (415) 703-5544 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8

BEFORE THE
DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12

13

14

15

16

9

10

11

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 03-2005-165519

HANYA BARTH, M.D. 1200 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103

**ACCUSATION** 

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A31974

17 18

Respondent.

19

Complainant alleges:

21

25

26

27

28

20

### **PARTIES**

1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation ("Accusation")
solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California,
Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about March 7, 1978, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A31974 to Hanya Barth, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2007, unless renewed.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California (Division), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (Code).
- 4. Section 2003 of the Code states: "The board shall consist of the following two divisions: a Division of Medical Quality, and a Division of Licensing."
  - 5. Section 2004 of the Code states:
  - "The Division of Medical Quality shall have the responsibility for the following:
  - "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
    - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
  - "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, the division, or an administrative law judge.
  - "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
  - "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board."
- 6. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - 7. Section 2234 of the Code states:
  - "The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
  - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine...."
  - 9. Section 2266 of the Code provides:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

## (Patient T.R.)

(Unprofessional Conduct/Gross Negligence/Negligence/Incompetence)

1.2. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234, and/or

- A. Respondent completed medical school in 1975. Her field of practice is family practice and internal medicine; she is not board certified. Between 1992 and 2003, respondent did not engage in the practice of medicine. She returned to practice on a part-time basis in 2003, and in 2004, she opened an office in San Francisco, California, specializing in medical marijuana evaluations and mental/physical wellness counseling.
- B. On or about July 31, 2004, Patient T.R., an 18 year old male, came to respondent's office seeking a recommendation for the use of medical marijuana. T.R. had recently graduated from high school, and planned to attend college in September. T.R. complained of insomnia, depression and decreased appetite. T.R. advised respondent that he had begun to treat his conditions with marijuana in May, 2004, and that it was helpful to him. He reported his usage as 3 grams per week. Respondent took a medical history, and conducted a brief physical examination. Her physical examination did not include a thorough mental status or neurological examination. Respondent diagnosed T.R. with depression, insomnia and lack of appetite, and issued a recommendation for a two month trial of marijuana. Respondent recommended that T.R. seek counseling, and recommended a follow up appointment in two months.
- C. Respondent next saw T.R. on October 1, 2004. He reported that he had dropped out of college after twenty days, that he was not able to regularly obtain marijuana while at college. He had been prescribed Ambien and Prozac by a physician at college, but stated that they didn't help him. He had resumed his marijuana use after he returned from college, and reported that marijuana helped him sleep and helped with his depression. His marijuana use was up to 7 grams per week. Respondent took vital signs, but does not

<sup>1.</sup> The patient's name is abbreviated to protect privacy. Full information will be provided to the respondent upon timely request for discovery.

appear to have conducted any other physical examination or mental status examination, except to note that T.R. "Appears very anxious here- palms sweating- agitated." Respondent again recommended counseling, and suggested that T.R. see another psychiatrist and consider Prozac again. She noted a plan to obtain T.R.'s medical records. Respondent issued a three month recommendation for medical marijuana.

- D. T.R. returned to respondent's office on December 28, 2004. He reported that he felt well, and that based on his Internet research, he believed he had a seasonal affective disorder. Except for vital signs, no physical exam was conducted, and the mental status examination is limited to a notation that T.R. was "very nervous very articulate." Respondent issued a medical marijuana recommendation for one year, based on a diagnosis of anxiety, insomnia and rule out seasonal affective disorder.
- E. On March 29, 2005, respondent's chart states that she spoke with T.R.'s parents and his psychiatrist, Rebecca Powers, who felt that marijuana was having a negative effect on T.R. T.R.'s parents advised respondent that their son was seeking employment at a cannabis dispensary, and that marijuana was affecting his ability to make good choices. They asked respondent to revoke her recommendation for marijuana.
- F. On April 6, 2005, respondent saw T.R. for a final visit. T.R. advised respondent that his new psychiatrist believed his problems were from marijuana, and that he was taking Lexapro. Again, except for vital signs and a notation "(illegible).... hands clenched, sweating. Very little insight into himself currently. States not depressed or anxious and all o.k." there is no indication that respondent conducted a physical or mental status examination, or that she conducted any work up or assessment to determine whether marijuana might be exacerbating T.R.'s mental instability. Her assessment was possible clinical anxiety and seasonal affective disorder, and her plan was to continue with psychiatrist. There is no indication that respondent discussed with T.R. the concerns of his treating psychiatrist or his parents, or that she attempted to dissuade him from using marijuana.

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

G. Contained within respondent medical record for T.R. is an undated Social Phobia Self-Test, a print out of an Internet chat in which T.R. was a participant, an authorization for respondent and T.R.'s treating psychiatrist to exchange information about T.R., an authorization for release of records dated 12/23/2004, and medical records for T.R. going back to 1998. The records reveal that on November 8, 2004, T.R. was seen after he exhibited bizarre thoughts and delusions and paranoia. The health care provider who saw T.R. on that date thought T.R. was in the midst of a psychotic or bipolar episode, and T.R. was hospitalized. On May 21, 2004, T.R.'s records show that he was seen for "altered consciousness" and was believed to have ingested 21 capsules of diphendydramine (an antihistamine) and was sent to the emergency room. Although these materials were included in respondent's chart for T.R., there is no indication that she considered them at any time during her treatment of T.R.

Dr. Powers contacted respondent by telephone on March 29, 2005. Dr. Η. Powers explained to respondent the reasons she believed marijuana was contraindicated for T.R., and that marijuana could make his depression worse and cause his psychosis. Dr. Powers advised respondent that she had diagnosed T.R. with depression with psychotic features, and that T.R.'s psychosis coincided with when he began to use marijuana. She further advised respondent that marijuana counteracted the antidepressant and antipsychotic medication she prescribed to T.R., and that she believed T.R. was psychologically and physically dependent on marijuana. Dr. Powers made it clear to respondent that as a psychiatrist she believed T.R.'s use of marijuana was causing him grave harm. Respondent disagreed with Dr. Powers, stating that marijuana was helping T.R. Dr. Powers advised respondent that, at a minimum, she needed to more closely monitor T.R., and that she really needed to advise T.R. to stop using marijuana. Dr. Powers also informed respondent that T.R. had authorized her to release his records to respondent, but respondent never requested those records. Dr. Powers attempted to contact respondent on several more occasions, but was unable to reach respondent.

- I. On or about September 15, 2005, T.R. committed suicide by hanging himself.
- 13. Respondent's conduct, as described above, constitutes unprofessional conduct and represents extreme and/or simple departures from the standard of care, and/or acts of incompetence in that respondent committed errors and omissions in the care and treatment of Patient T.R., including but not limited to the following:
  - A. Respondent failed to completely and adequately evaluate T.R.'s complaints of depression, anxiety and insomnia by means of an adequate history and physical examination, including a neurological examination, and/or mental status examination;
  - B. Respondent failed to formulate and document a comprehensive or meaningful treatment plan for T.R., failed to consider or incorporate into her treatment plan T.R.'s medical records and history after she obtained that information, and failed to incorporate into her treatment plan any ongoing evaluation of side effects such as tolerance and dependence.
  - C. Respondent failed to consider, or to discuss with T.R., the possible side effects of marijuana on T.R.'s mental status, and failed to adequately discuss with her patient the risks and benefits of marijuana;
  - D. Respondent failed to adequately monitor T.R. for the development of known possible side effects of marijuana use.
  - E. After respondent consulted with T.R.'s treating psychiatrist, she failed to take adequate steps to educate herself regarding the possible impact of marijuana use on T.R.;
  - F. Respondent failed to adequately consult with T.R.'s treating physician(s),
    To the extent she did consult with Dr. Powers, and to the extent she did obtain prior
    treatment records for T.R., respondent failed to consider or incorporate the information she
    obtained into her treatment plan.

(Inadequate/Inaccurate Medical Records)

2	(Inadequate/Inaccurate Medical Records)
3	14. The allegations of the First Cause for Disciplinary Action are incorporated
4	nerein by reference.
5	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code
6	n that respondent's medical records for Patient T.R. lack adequate documentation of physical
7	xamination, clinical findings, mental status examination, follow-up and treatment plans,
8	onsideration of collateral sources of information, consultation with other treatment provides, and
9	ther matters relevant and necessary to an evaluation and diagnosis of the patient's condition.
10	<u>PRAYER</u>
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
12	lleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:
13	A. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number
14	A31974, issued to Hanya Barth, M.D.;
15	B. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Hanya Barth, M.D.'s authority
16	o supervise physician's assistants;
17	C. Ordering Hanya Barth, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the costs of
18	probation monitoring;
19	D. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
20	DATED:
21	A A
22	Distant
23	DAVID T. THORNTON  Executive Director
24	Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs
25	State of California Complainant